## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:05-cr-00136
v.	)	Honorable Robert Holmes Bell
Aninja Hooks,	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on October 13, 2005, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Aninja Hooks entered a plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the Superseding Indictment in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. Count 1 charges defendant with possessing with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of cocaine base ("crack" cocaine), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A)(iii). Count 2 charges him with manufacturing 50 grams or more of cocaine base ("crack" cocaine), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A)(iii). On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises,

Case 1:05-cr-00136-RHB ECF No. 35 filed 10/14/05 PageID.99 Page 2 of 2

apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the

charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to Counts 1 and 2 of the

Superseding Indictment be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant guilty, and that the written

plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing. Acceptance of the plea,

adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement, and imposition of sentence are specifically

reserved for the district judge.

Date: October 14, 2005

/s/ Ellen S. Carmody

ELLEN S. CARMODY

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D.

MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d).

- 2 -